

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

From: groberts <groberts@mcdowell.main.nc.us>  
To: A16.A16(rm8775)  
Date: 1/1/97 8:54pm  
Subject: public notice report # 2124

JAN 2 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

It seems I was supposed to include in my previous message the "Rulemaking No.8775"

I again implore you again to throw this petition out. I am not in the communications business, I am one of the masses, this technology does not inter-connect with existing phone systems. It therefore should not be included in what it does not connect to.

Insofar as the phone companies regulations. Regulations made to protect

the public and make phone service available to the working man. What they are appealing to your "regulatory" pride to regulate is FREE. It costs us the public not one red cent.

It would be a boon to many people who cannot afford their long distance rates, and barely afford a phone. It is FREE to the Public, It needs no regulation

it costs the public nothing, it does not connect to the lobbyist systems, it costs them nothing also. why would a free community service need regulation, except that it may be in their "best interest" profit wise maybe 10-20 years down the road, that's plenty of time for them to sell-off their conglomerates, and invest in new types of communications research and development. These banded together Phone companies begging you to help them,

Fleece the public. should have put their money behind americans with inventive minds instead of closing factories, putting americans out of work and selling us their equipment, manufactured in Red China. as some of them surely do. Help us, the public, It doesn't use their interconnection system, It cost them nothing. It costs the public nothing.

Why do they want it regulated. Selfishness. Protect us from these phone

conglomerates who take our money each month, and try to stifle the new technology, because they can't get their ounce of flesh. This Rulemaking No 8775 is wrong. please tell the big lobbyists this.

Thank you for giving me the time to gripe about the way I've been treated by the same companies who now want a body to kill a totally new communications field mainly because they feel they may lose a few dollars. Like the railroad companies, they need to invest in themselves, not worry about competition, and compete on a level field.

I know these are powerful but un american companies, when they ask for the petty protection they feel they would get from this Petition. This petition would hurt the Public the fcc has had to protect to these years to insure we did have competent service at fair prices.

Please tell these big companies, the american public and american inventive technology comes first. not the profits of huge conglomerates who sell us Red Chinese telephones.

Thank you very much, No to "Rulemaking No.8775. Democracy and public protection in action.

Glenn Roberts, Hills of north carolina.

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

1

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

From: groberts <groberts@mcdowell.main.nc.us>  
To: A16.A16(rm8775)  
Date: 1/1/97 8:30pm  
Subject: Public Notice # 2124

JAN 2 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

dear sirs / madams,

The above public notice, asks for "input". It has a very unusual =  
Time frame for this "input". Usually a much longer term for study is employed for your = agency. WE wonder why  
this is the case. WE thought our Federal Government and its = agencies, were here to protect the interest of the  
PEOPLE Telephone associations = are not in the "interest of the People", but to make private monies, for private  
parties=

. The reasons

(we assume) the FCC had to ever "regulate" the telephone companies in the=  
first place, was to assure common American Taxpayers, use of a telephone at an afford= able rate.

This "petition" is not in the interest of anyone but long distance carri= ers, It may not be to your knowledge, but I  
would assume it must be the Inter-net technology=  
of today does not use the interconnections of these telephone companies, and there= fore, should  
NOT fall in the same regulatory field, It is NEW technology, not design= d by these companies who would deprive  
America, of Future Technology, today.

Anyone, with common sense can see this petition in its entirety, is selfi= sh, and costly to the american public at  
large, It does not state all the truth-ful facts, = and appeals to a "regulatory body" to "regulate" in a day when the  
common man wants less = government intervention in their daily lives. We live with the current evesdropping=  
and sniffers, placed on the internet. WE don't like it, but we live with it. I ask you to = think of the entire computer  
industry, America's own, (I am not employed by it) It has grown = from garages in California's Silicon Valley, to World  
wide use. The Internet is world=

wide. It is American

Is this Petition truly American. or Capitalist Gouging? Why did it not = present the total facts, instead of appealing to  
the "regulate" vanity, it thought it would=

find with your agency? I assume this short "window" of time has a reason, I hopefully = assume that you already  
know all the facts, and are prepared to throw this "tampered"=

petition out with your findings in order to protect the public from this type of =

Industrial manipulation of Our Protective agencies. We feel the Fcc is there to Protect the Gene= ral public. Not to  
Protect the Phone lobbies, and companies. Why didn't the Big Telephon= e lobbies get together, and help  
propagate this new small industry. Where was their =

R & D people, This "petition" is another way the american public is gouged by Corporate=

america, who thinks little of closing manufacturing plants here, (Bell Labs) and buyin= g Hong Kong phones to sell  
us, the very people they deny the jobs to buy these phones=

with. Please

I beseech you Throw this unwarranted and erroneous "petition" out without=  
ceremony.

I am naught but a common citizen living in a small mountain community = in North Carolina.

I can do nothing to sway you except ask you to accept this as the New Tec= hnology, it is, using nothing of the  
Phone Lobbies equipment, and not applying to =

Price or any other regulation. The price of this technology is FREE. It Costs nothin= g. FREE.

The phone companies can not get extra charges for this and that, there = are no charges.

If you had to pay a "hook-up or Monthly" fee to these companies who are = behind this petition, there would be no  
petition. They are fighting the best thing = ever to happen to americans, with american technology, they just can't  
control it. As =

They shouldn't.

Please I beg you, these same petitioners, have had a Monopoly strangle = hold on american communications for all  
my life. Stop their monopoly now. Rule against =

Notice # 2124.

It does not inter connect with existing phone systems, Their regulatio= ns do not apply, it is free of monthly  
charges, It is communication as they should have = designed for the greater good of mankind. Thow out this  
baseless, and selfish petition = to throw us into the void of second-class technology countries. Help us lead the way  
into=

a new tomorrow as President Clinton promised. Protect us the common man from the giant = corporate

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

conglomerates. Who close their factories here, depriving us of jobs, and= sell us inferior

Hong Kong phones. WE must still have their service in order to connect = to the www.

At that point, we've paid the conglomerates their "blood money" . Help = lead us into

President Clinton's New Prosperous Tomorrow, by protecting us from these =

Phone Lobbies.

Vote no on this new "regulation" protect us from the monsters. Tell = them to go out and find new technology for the good of mankind and to stop trying to sti= fle communications in a new and prosperous tomorrow. I have seen the FCC petitioned for reg= ulations many times, normally in order to protect and serve the people. This petition = seeks to tie the hands of the people and secure the people in bondage to them. WE the peo= ple should own these conglomerates, they get subsidized money for their systems, (in=

extra rates) and spend their profits to buy non regulated companies and don't pour pro= fits back into their "railroads" of income. becoming partners with other technology, = not related, with profits squeezed , sometimes by regulation and laws from the common man. =

Send them packing with this selfish, and unrelated petition, that is not entirely = true by design.

If this petition was shown to the public at large with ALL the facts, the= y would expect your agency to protect them from this petition, and help lead us into a = new communications era. Deny this Petition in its entirety. I beg you.

Glenn D. Roberts.

Registered to vote as and Independent. American.

WE thank you for letting us give this "informal" input before the short = deadline runs out.